

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,759 01/21/2004		01/21/2004	Kent Linduff	24465.1	2887	
716	7590 04/21/2005 EXAMINER					
		THEWS INCORPO	SMITH, TRACI L			
		TREET, SUITE 1800 [- 78205-1521	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	·		3629			
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/21/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/761,75	59	LINDUFF, KENT					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Traci L Sn	nith	3629					
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC misions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wereply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common to the common that is the common	unication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 January 200</u> -	<u>4</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐		o)∭ This action is n							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co							
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to				• •				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-15)	2)				

Art Unit: 3629

٦.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Species A: Claims 1-3 Database specific to employee benefits.
 - b. Species B: Claims 4-9 method of managing information.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/761,759

Art Unit: 3629

Page 3

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

JOHN G. WEISS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

prill.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600